



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/784,197		02/16/2001	Katsuya Kobayashi	040302/0260	3120	
22428	7590	01/25/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
FOLEY A	ND LAR	DNER	PRICE, CARL D			
SUITE 500				£		
3000 K STR	EET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DC	20007		3749		
				D. TE MAN ED 01/05/000		

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/784,197	KOBAYASHI, KATSUYA	لوحا
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CARL D. PRICE	3749	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondenc address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi , cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 N</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	· ·	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-16 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,9,11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-8 and 10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 February 2001 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☒ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ drawing(s) be held in abey tion is required if the drawi	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/16/2001</u>. 	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-12 in the reply filed on 11-01-2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 13-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11-01-2005.

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

The date indicated for the prior Japanese application P2000-41194 is incorrectly stated as "18 February 2001".

Art Unit: 3749

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, line 12, it is unclear to where, or what, the "thermal medium" is to be supplied. In claim 1, lines 18-20, the phrase "a fluid communication portion connecting the first catalyst combustion portion and the second catalyst combustion portion to each other" does not accurately describe the invention as set forth in the applicant's specification. On page 5 of applicant's specification at lines 31-32, applicant discloses that a fluid communication portion 60 is "interposed between the gas chambers 21 and 41. In claim 1, line 21, it is unclear what element(s) "has a fixed relationship". And, the last four lines of claim 1 are confusing. And, it is unclear what relationship applicant is attempting to claim by use of the phrase "is caused to occur simply in the first catalyst combustion portion".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim 1: Rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 63-197814.

In regard to claims 1-12, the term "closable" is deemed a recitation of intended use. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Claims 1-12 do not positively recited structure permitting the fuel supply to be "closable".

JP 63-197814 shows a fluid communication portion (12) interposed between a plurality of gas chambers (11) which separately feed a mixture of fuel and oxidizer to catalysts (13).

Claims 1, 2, 9, 11 and 12: Rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

Claims 1, 2, 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US005361586A (McWhirter et al).

US005361586A (McWhirter et al) shows a fluid communication portion (4') interposed between a plurality of gas chambers which separately feed a mixture of fuel and oxidizer to concentrically arranged catalysts (59). Due to the relative dimensions of the passages (23-26) the fluid resistance of the respective passages would differ (i.e. – the fluid resistance of one passage would be greater, or less than, that of other passages). In regard to claim 9, the smaller inwardly catalysts have relatively smaller heat capacities than the more outwardly positioned catalysts.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-8 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

See the attached USPTO Form 892 for prior art made of record and not relied upon and which is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CARL D. PRICE whose telephone number is (571) 272-4880. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 6:30am-3:00pm.

Art Unit: 3749

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (571) 272-4877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CARL D. PRICE Primary Examiner Art Unit 3749